



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/782,712   | 02/18/2004  | Clifton Cook         | LOWM110             | 5586             |
| 21658 7590 06/30/2009<br>DYKAS, SHAVER & NIPPER, LLP<br>P.O. BOX 877<br>BOISE, ID 83701-0877 |             |                      |                     |                  |
| EXAMINER   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| VANTERPOOL, LESTER L   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| ART UNIT   |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |
| 3782   |             |                      |                     |                  |
| MAIL DATE  |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |
| 06/30/2009   |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/782,712

**Applicant(s)**

COOK, CLIFTON

**Examiner**

LESTER L. VANTERPOOL

**Art Unit**

3782

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LESTER L. VANTERPOOL, USPTO.(3) NATHAN NEWHOUSE, USPTO.(2) BOB SHAVER, Att'y. of Rec. Reg. No. 42,145.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed proposed changes to the claim language to incorporate vital key elements such as "the open foam / closed foam" disclosed in Figure 4; "the two belt system" disclosed in Figure 5; and "the mounting straps" disclosed in Figure 7 with details of "the mounting straps" disclosed in Figures 12, 13 & 14. Applicant stated the enclosed vital elements will be positively claimed upon formal filing.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Nathan J. Newhouse/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3782